



EVALUATION OF LEARNING: Standards and Procedures

2020 – 2021

Mansonsville Elementary School

Updated: September 18th, 2020

INTRODUCTION

Standards and procedures for the evaluation of learning at **Mansonville Elementary School** were proposed by a committee of teachers and approved by the school principal. Their aim is to define concrete actions in an effort to ensure that evaluation practices are consistent with the vision of evaluation adopted in the Québec Education Program. The discussions surrounding the development of these standards and procedures also led to a harmonization of evaluation practices in an effort to ensure consistency throughout the school.

FIELD OF APPLICATION

The standards and procedures in this document apply to **cycle 1, cycle 2, cycle 3**. They cover each of the stages in the evaluation process, namely:

1. Planning of evaluation
2. Information gathering and interpretation
3. Judgment
4. Decision/action
5. Communication of results
6. Language quality

STANDARDS AND PROCEDURES COMMITTEE:

Fanny Boulais, Teacher & Principal / Daniel Aucoin, Teacher / Alex Wisdom, Teacher / Andrea Ivan, Teacher /

EFFECTIVE DATE

The standards and procedures in this document are in effect as of **September 30th 2020**

GOVERNING BOARD

The Governing Board was notified of the selected standards and procedures on **September 28th 2020**

CHANGES

Changes may be made to this document as new situations arise.

SUMMARY

The first two pages of this document represents a summary of Standards & Procedures for parents early in the year, as required by the MINISTÈRE DE L'ÉDUCATION ET DE L'ENSEIGNEMENT SUPÉRIEUR.



ELEMENTARY 2020-2021 Mansonville Elementary School

Summary of your child's Evaluation of Learning

| | First Written Communication | Term 1 Report Card | Term 2 Report Card | Term 3 Report Card |
|--|--|---|---|--|
| Period of: | August 31 - October 9 | Aug. 31 - Nov. 6 | Nov. 9 to Jan. 29 | Feb. 1 to June 23 |
| Available on Parent Portal on : | October 15th | November 16 | February 15 | June 30 |
| Term Mark will count for: | This written communication will include comments on how your child's year began in regards to his/her learning and behavior. | 20% of the final mark at the end of the school year | 20% of the final mark at the end of the school year | 60% of the final mark at the end of the school year. * |

| Subjects and Competencies evaluated | | Evaluated on Term 1 Report card | | | Evaluated on Term 2 Report Card | | | Evaluated on Term 3 Report Card | | | End-of-year Exam |
|---|--|---------------------------------|---------|---------|---------------------------------|---------|---------|---------------------------------|---------|---------|---------------------|
| | | Cycle 1 | Cycle 2 | Cycle 3 | Cycle 1 | Cycle 2 | Cycle 3 | Cycle 1 | Cycle 2 | Cycle 3 | |
| English Language Arts | Uses language to communicate and to learn (33%) | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | Grade 6 only TBD |
| | Reads and listens to spoken, written and media texts (33%) | no | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | |
| | Produces written and media texts (34%) | no | no | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | |
| Math | Solves a situational problem (20% Cycle 1, 30% Cycle 2 & 3) | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | TBD |
| | Uses math reasoning (80% Cycle 1, 70% Cycle 2 & 3) | yes | yes | | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | |
| French Second Language | Communicates in French (40% Base, 33% Immersion) | no | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | TBD |
| | Understands oral and written texts in French (40% Base, 34% Immersion) | no | no | no | nos | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | |
| | Produces oral and written texts in French (20% Base, 33% Immersion) | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | |
| Geography, History and Citizenship Education (Int.: is integrated to another subject) | | Int. | yes | yes | Int. | yes | yes | Int. | yes | yes | TBD |
| Science and Technology (Int.: is integrated to another subject) | | Int. | yes | yes | Int. | yes | yes | Int. | yes | yes | TBD |
| Visual arts | | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | TBD |
| Dance (Da) /Drama (Dr) /Music (M) | | M | Dr | Da | Da | M | Dr | Dr | Da | M | TBD |
| Ethics and Religious Culture | | yes | yes | yes | Int. | yes | yes | Int. | yes | yes | TBD |
| Physical Education and Health | | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | TBD |

* Term 3 will cover your child's overall learning in each subject. Grade 6 students will also write a Ministry (MEES) exam in English Language Arts and Mathematics that will

count for 20% of the **final** mark (but not considered in the results for Term 3).

-1-

Evaluation of Learning (by subject)

The report card includes a section for general teacher comments for each subject. The comments will describe your child's strengths, challenges and progress. The evaluation of student learning will be assessed on a regular basis, through different means such as in-class work, evaluation situations, assignments, tests, dictations, student portfolios, observations, etc.

General Competencies

Teachers will provide comments on at least two (2) of the general competencies for your child. They will appear on the report card at the following terms:

| General Competencies | 2019-2020 cycle 1-2-3 | | 2020-2021 cycle 1-2-3 | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------|--------|--------------------------|--------|
| | Term 1 | Term 3 | Term 1 | Term 3 |
| Exercises critical judgment | | X | | |
| Organize his/her work | X | | | X |
| Communicates effectively | | | X | |
| Works in a team | | X | | |

("x" = Competencies commented on in Report Card)

If there are any changes made to the above evaluation and reporting information, an updated version of this document will be sent home. For any more information concerning your child's learning, please contact your child's teacher(s).

School Principal

1. Planning of Evaluation

| | Standards | | Procedures | Legal Ref. |
|-----|--|-------|--|------------|
| 1.1 | Planning evaluation shall be consistent with the Québec Education Program (QEP). | 1.1.1 | The planning of evaluation by the grade level/subject team takes into account the evaluation of knowledge, subject-specific and general competencies, the Progression of Learning and the Framework for the Evaluation of Learning as well as other ETSB project/initiative requirements. | |
| 1.2 | The planning of evaluation is a responsibility shared by the principal, grade level or subject-specific team and the individual teacher | 1.2.1 | The grade-level/subject team prepares an overall evaluation plan. The plan includes among other things, the main subject competencies targeted for a given term, the types of evaluation activities to be carried out and when they will take place. Subject consultants from the ETSB may lend support or guidance during the planning process. | 1 |
| | | 1.2.2 | The members of the grade-level/subject team meet at least once a month to follow up on the evaluation planning (common presence TBD) | |
| | | 1.2.3 | Using the subject team’s overall plan, the individual teacher prepares his/her own evaluation plan. | 2 |
| 1.3 | The planning for evaluation takes into account students with special needs on IEPs (Individual Education Plans), as well as gifted students, to progress in their learning. | 1.3.1 | In order to take into account the specific situation of students with IEPs, the teacher - in collaboration with other school members and/or professional involved – indicates in his or her evaluation plan any adaptations or modifications required (see definitions below) | 3 |
| | | 1.3.2 | At least once a month, information will be provided to the parents of a minor with an IEP. This information will be communicated by the following teachers: For Cycle 1: Emilie Marceau-Kozickie or Fanny Boulais For Cycle 2: Andrea Ivan or Fanny Boulais For Cycle 3: Daniel Aucoin or or Fanny Boulais | |

Adaptations in evaluation (or support measures) involve making adjustments or changes to learning and evaluation situations without modifying what is evaluated. It can lead to a change in the way students with specific needs experience these situations or can affect the procedure to be followed or the way in which texts are presented visually (ex. Using a more spacious layout). Adaptations give students access to the adjustments or accommodations they are used to enjoying without changing the content of evaluation situations, evaluation criteria or requirements. Adaptations do not involve reducing the requirements or modifying what is evaluated. For example, in the context of a geography evaluation, allowing a student with specific needs to listen to, rather than read, the introductory text or instructions would be considered an adaptation.

Modifications in evaluation involve changes to learning and evaluation situations that actually modify competency evaluation criteria and requirements for students with specific needs. The level of difficulty of the evaluation situation is modified accordingly. For example, reducing the number of tasks to be performed would be a modification. Another example would be assigning a student with specific needs an easier task or a situation that is different from that assigned to the group as a whole. In the case of ministerial examinations aimed at the certification of studies, such modifications cannot be made for a student.

2. Information gathering and interpretation

| | Standards | | Procedures | Legal Ref. |
|------------|---|-------|--|-------------------|
| 2.1 | The responsibility for gathering and interpreting information is shared by the teacher, the student and, occasionally, by other professionals. | 2.1.1 | The teacher gathers and records information that is <i>varied, relevant, sufficient</i> and spread over a period of time. | |
| | | 2.1.2 | The teacher chooses or produces appropriate tools for gathering information (logbook, learning and evaluation file, etc.) or for interpreting it (rubrics, checklists, etc.) | |
| | | 2.1.3 | During the learning process, the student is involved with information gathering through self-evaluation, co-evaluation and peer evaluation activities. | |
| | | 2.1.4 | In the case of students with special needs, the resource teacher and/or ETSB Complementary Services Professionals may assist the classroom teacher in the gathering of information and its interpretation. | |
| 2.2 | Information is gathered during the learning process and at the end of the year. | 2.2.1 | The teacher gathers and records information on the student's learning during regular classroom activities. | |
| | | 2.2.2 | Teachers discuss and share their information gathering practices with colleagues (anecdotal notes, projects/assignments, interviews, exams/quizzes, observations, checklists, journal entries, etc.) | |
| 2.3 | The interpretation of information is based on the evaluation criteria in the Framework for the Evaluation of Learning. | 2.3.1 | The teacher uses evaluation tools (e.g. rubrics, self-evaluation forms, etc.) based on the evaluation criteria in the Framework for the Evaluation of Learning. | |
| | | 2.3.2 | Teachers of a given subject adopt a common interpretation of the requirements stemming from the Framework for the Evaluation of Learning, in particular by identifying observable indicators. | |
| | | 2.3.3 | In the case of a student on a modified program, the teacher records in the IEP (Individual Education Plan) how the student will be evaluated. | |

3. Judgment

| | Standards | | Procedures | Legal Ref. |
|-----|--|-------|---|------------|
| 3.1 | The teacher is responsible for making a judgment based on relevant, varied and sufficient information that reflects student learning. | 3.1.1 | The teacher makes a judgment on the basis of the information gathered and interpreted through the use of formal tools. | |
| | | 3.1.2 | A school administrator may consult with a teacher regarding a student's grade. After discussion, there maybe a decision to revise a mark. Following this, the teacher is responsible to make the agreed upon change. | |
| | | 3.1.3 | The grade-level/subject team comes to a common understanding of what is considered as <i>relevant, varied</i> and <i>sufficient</i> information of a student's learning in order to make a judgment during and at the end of the school year. | |
| 3.2 | For Terms 1 and 2, the teacher makes a judgment that reflects the knowledge and competencies that a student has acquired - based on the evaluations carried out. | 3.2.1 | The teacher uses the Progression of Learning and the Framework for the Evaluation of Learning to make a judgment on student progress. | 4 |
| 3.3 | For Term 3, the teacher makes a judgment based primarily on the evaluations of learning carried out since the end of Term 2. It may also include, where applicable, the evaluations carried out at the end of the school year that cover the entire year's work for that subject. | 3.3.1 | The teacher uses the Framework for the Evaluation of Learning to make a judgment on the attainment of knowledge and competencies. | |
| | | 3.3.2 | In the case of compulsory evaluations produced by MEES or the school board, teachers will use the accompanying evaluation guides. | |
| 3.4 | General Competencies: At the end of Terms 1 and 3, information is provided for 2 of the 4 general competencies. | 3.4.1 | After a consultation process with teachers involved in the learning of a student, a comment (on strengths and challenges) is entered on at least two (2) of the following general competencies: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Exercises critical judgment - Organizes his/her work - Communicates effectively - Works in a team <p>The two general competencies selected both for Term 1 and for Term 3 are indicated in the Summary (pages 1 and 2 of this document).</p> | |

4. Decision/Action

| | Standards | | Procedures | Legal Ref. |
|-----|--|-------|--|------------|
| 4.1 | During the school year, varied pedagogical practices are taken to support and enhance student learning. | 4.1.1 | The teacher determines the type and level of support and approaches necessary to meet the specific needs of his or her students. This may involve the help of the resource teacher and/or ETSB Complementary Services Professionals. | |
| 4.2 | At the end of each year, decisions are made and actions planned to ensure that the student makes a smooth transition to the next school year. | 4.2.1 | The principal and grade-level teachers set times for discussion and determine the information to be given in order to ensure student learning is followed from one year to the next. | |
| | | 4.2.2 | The teacher and resource team provide a detailed account of the learning of a student with special needs and determine the support measures needed for the following year. | |

5. Communication

| | Standards | | Procedures | Legal Ref. |
|-----|---|-------|---|------------|
| 5.1 | A summary of the Standards & Procedures for the evaluation of student learning is provided to parents at the beginning of the school year (see first two pages of this document) | 5.1.1 | The principal ensures that parents are provided with information on the main types of evaluation that will be carried out and when they will take place during the school year. This summary is made available to parents by September 28th . | 5 |
| 5.2 | An informal written communication is issued to parents to inform them early in the school year of their child's learning and behavior. | 5.2.1 | The school team uses (and may adapt) the First Communication template provided by the ETSB Pedagogical Services, and issues it to parents by October 15 . | 6 |
| | | 5.2.2 | This communication should be considered a means of encouraging collaboration between the school and home by providing information on how the student has started the school year in terms of learning and behavior (information on attitudes in the classroom, motivation to learn, compliance with rules and relationships with others). | |
| | | 5.2.3 | Parents of students with special needs or at-risk are given information at least once a month (note in agenda, telephone call, e-mail, meeting, etc.). (See 1.3.2 for Teachers responsible for such communication) | 7 |
| 5.3 | Three (3) MEES Provincial Report Cards, with regulated term weightings and set timelines for distribution, are prepared and issued to students and parents during the school year. | 5.3.1 | The principal ensures that all 3 Provincial Report Cards are issued to students and parents by the following dates (as prescribed in the Basic School Regulations: Term 1: by November 20; Term 2: by March 15 ;Term 3: by July 10) <i>Our school board end of term dates are:</i> November 1 2020 / January 31 2021 / June 23 2021 | 8 |
| 5.4 | The Provincial Report Card communicates, in the form of percentage grades, the student's learning (knowledge and competencies) at the end of each term, for each subject area. | 5.4.1 | The entire school team uses the Provincial Report Card developed and prescribed by the MEES. | 9 |
| | | 5.4.2 | Marks for students on modified programs reflect the work they do at the level they are determined to be working at (as identified in their IEP), even if only for one subject. When this is the case, teachers indicate (in the comment section, through GPI) that the student's mark reflects the work that has been done in a <i>modified</i> program. | |

| | | | | |
|------------|--|-------|--|-----------|
| | | | | |
| 5.5 | For Terms 1 and 2, subject-specific competencies in English, Math and French are evaluated and reported on in accordance to what is decided by the grade-level/subject team early in the year (as indicated in the Summary) | 5.5.1 | As needed, teachers enter comments in GPI to indicate student strengths and challenges for each subject . | |
| 5.6 | For Term 3, <u>all</u> subjects are evaluated and reported on, including subject-specific competencies, in the form of percentage grades, to indicate the level of knowledge and competency attained at the end of the school year. | 5.6.1 | Teachers use the Framework for the Evaluation of Learning to determine percentage grades for all subject-specific competencies. The overall subject result is based on the competency weightings prescribed by MEES. | 10 |
| | | 5.6.2 | As needed, teachers enter comments in GPI to indicate student strengths and challenges for each subject. | |

6. Language Quality

| | Standards | | Procedures | Legal Ref. |
|------------|--|-------|---|-------------------|
| 6.1 | The responsibility for language quality is shared by all school members and is taken into account in all learning and evaluation activities for students. | 6.1.1 | The quality of spoken and written language is promoted. Clear and appropriate means of expression are encouraged. | 11 |

Legal References

| Reference # | Legal Document(s) | Reference # | Legal Document(s) |
|-------------|--|-------------|--|
| 1 | Education Act, 96.15 (4) | 7 | Education Act, 96.14 & Basic School Regulation, 29.2 |
| 2 | Education Act, 19 (1) & (2) | 8 | Basic School Regulation, 29.1 |
| 3 | Education Act, 96.14 & Basic School Regulation, 29.2 | 9 | Basic School Regulation, 30, 30.1 & 30.2 |
| 4 | Basic School Regulation, 28 & 30.2 | 10 | Basic School Regulation, 30.2 |
| 5 | Basic School Regulation, 20 (4) | 11 | Education Act, 22 (5) & Basic School Regulation, 35 |
| 6 | Basic School Regulation, 29 | | |

Reference documents:

[Education Act](#)

[Basic School Regulation](#)

[Annual Directives 2019-2020 Amended](#)

[Quebec Education Program](#)

[Progression of Learning](#): under each subject, see the PDF file: *Progression of Learning*

[Framework for the Evaluation of Learning](#) :under each subject, see the PDF file: *Framework for the Evaluation of Learning*

[Report Card Weightings and Wording](#)